	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/024,836	WAPNER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jessica L. Rossi	1733
All Participants:	Status of Application:	·
(1) <u>Jessica L. Rossi</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Stover.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>18 April 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a write record of the substance of the	ne interview, since the interview
		Cignature if consensists)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant agreed to cancel non-elected claims 28-34 and 43-46. Examiner pointed out that Applicant attempted to cancel claims 1-27 and 47-96 in preliminary amendment dated 12/19/01 but this was overlooked by the LIE and therefore the claims are still pending in the application. Therefore, Applicant agreed to let examiner cancel these claims in present examiner's amendment.